

PLANT SOCIOLOGY

formerly **FITOSOCIOLOGIA**

Volume 50 (1) - June 2013

RIVISTA SEMESTRALE - POSTE ITALIANE S.P.A. - SPED. ABB. POST. - D.L. 353/2003 - (CONV. IN L. 27/02/2004 N. 46) ART. 1, COMMA 2, DCB ANCONA TASSA RISCOSSA-TAXE PERÇUE-CMPP AN
EDITO DALLA SOCIETÀ ITALIANA DI SCIENZA DELLA VEGETAZIONE ONLUS - PAVIA - DIRETTORE RESPONSABILE PROF. E. BIONDI - VOLUME 1 - 1° SEMESTRE 2013



Journal of the Italian Society for Vegetation Science

The Prodrôme of French vegetation: a national synsystem for phytosociological knowledge and management issues

F. Bioret¹, V. Gaudillat² & J.-M. Royer³

¹EA 2219 Géoarchitecture, Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Brest, France.

²Service du patrimoine naturel, Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, France.

³Société française de phytosociologie, 42 bis rue Mareschal, 52000 Chaumont, France.

Abstract

The Prodrôme of French vegetation is presented from its beginnings in 1996 to the publication in 2004 of the first version of the national synsystem detailed up to the level of suballiance (PVF1). Work began in 2006 to produce a second edition, called PVF2, which aims to describe 74 of the 76 classes recorded in mainland France and Corsica, up to the level of association and subassociation. So far, 15 classes have been published, 5 classes are ready for publication and 60 classes are under preparation. The PVF2 should be finished in 2015.

Key words: Prodrôme of French vegetation, France, phytosociology.

Historical context

A few initiatives have been dedicated to the elaboration of synsystems at the international level. Such projects were proposed under the term “Prodrôme” which comes from the medical literature where it means the preliminary signs of a disease. It has been borrowed by phytosociologists for preliminary work towards the elaboration of a detailed synsystem (Géhu, 2006).

In 1933, began a first European project developed under the umbrella of the International Committee of the phytosociological Prodrôme, coordinated by Josias Braun-Blanquet. This project stopped rapidly after the description of a small number of classes.

In 1973, Reinhold Tüxen started a new project entitled “Prodrômus der europäischen Pflanzengesellschaften” (Prodrôme of the European plant communities), under the aegis of the International Association of Phytosociology. The first volume was dedicated to the *Spartinetea* class (Beefink & Géhu, 1973). By 1981, R. Tüxen edited only 4 volumes.

At the regional scale, Braun-Blanquet, Roussine & Nègre published in 1952 the Prodrôme of plant communities of Mediterranean France (Braun-Blanquet, Roussine & Nègre, 1952).

The 26th phytosociological Colloquium of the Amicale internationale de phytosociologie, entitled “Données pour un prodrôme des végétations de France” was organized in 1996 in Orsay (France) by Prof. A. Lacoste and Prof. J.-M. Géhu. One of the recommendations from this meeting was to produce a Prodrôme

of French vegetation, in order to provide a national reference classification for vegetation following the principles of sigmatist phytosociology (Lacoste & Géhu, 2005).

The Prodrôme of French vegetation: PVF1 (1996-2004)

A group of 12 French phytosociologists started to work in 1996: its aim was to build up and validate a Prodrôme of French vegetation. After its creation in 2002, the Société française de phytosociologie (SFP) became logically the leader of this programme up to its publication in 2004. Facing the huge amount of work and in order not to delay too much the final issue, the authors decided to describe the synsystem only up to the level of alliance and suballiance.

During numerous working sessions dedicated to the description of 76 classes the crucial question of the syntaxonomical validation of the units became apparent. An important work of identification of valid names of syntaxa was undertaken by Vincent Boulet (Conservatoire botanique national de Bailleul), giving a scientific backing for positioning the Prodrôme as a first scientific national reference classification of French vegetation organized according to the sigmatist approach.

The *Prodrôme des végétations de France* (Bardat *et al.*, 2004) (Figure 1) presents 76 classes, 142 orders, 7 suborders, 371 alliances, and 116 suballiances. It was conceived as a first step (PVF1) towards further more detailed work up to the level of association. It was first

used as a national reference classification within the frame of the European Union Habitats Directive, for the French *Cahiers d'habitats*, particularly for identifying the correspondences between syntaxa and natural and semi-natural habitats listed on Annex I of the Directive (Bensettiti *et al.*, 2001-2005). The main criticisms from the French phytosociological community and from site managers concerned i) the absence of characteristic species for each syntaxonomic unit, ii) the absence of determination keys, iii) the synsystem stopped at the suballiance level.




Fig. 1 - Prodrome des végétations de France (2004).

The current Prodrome of French vegetation: PVF2 project

The current project “Prodrome des végétations de France 2” (PVF2), aims to complete the preliminary work (Bioret & Royer, 2009). Initiated in 2006 by the SFP, it addresses several objectives: i) complete the description of the synsystem up to plant associations and subassociations, ii) facilitate the identification of syntaxa, iii) propose correspondences with European classifications of natural and semi-natural habitats (CORINE Biotopes, Annex I, EUNIS). This project is coordinated by the SFP, in partnership with the Muséum national d’Histoire naturelle de Paris and realized with phytosociologists from the Conservatoires

botaniques nationaux, AgroParis Tech Nancy and the Office national des forêts.

The work is organized class by class. Each class is under the responsibility of a national coordinator, who can aggregate national and regional skills. For the higher units (class, order, alliance, suballiance), a short description accompanied by a list of diagnostic species is given. For each plant association, the following fields are completed (Table 1):

- valid complete name of the syntaxon;
- synonymy;
- higher syntaxonomic units;
- bibliographical reference, site and year of publication of the type;
- nomenclatural type (holotype, lectotype, neotype);
- physiognomy: dominant or more frequent species;
- characteristic floristic combination;
- ecology: including dynamic;
- variations: subassociations;
- distribution: Europe, France;
- correspondences with European habitat classifications (CORINE Biotopes, Annex I, EUNIS);
- topics to be investigated;
- bibliography.

A national Nomenclature Commission with 9 members is devoted to the phytosociological nomenclature and assists the authors with bibliography. The Service du patrimoine naturel (SPN) of the Muséum national d’Histoire naturelle ensures the coherence of the synthesis written by different authors and is responsible for editorial tasks and establishing correspondence with European habitat classifications. Every draft is submitted to a working group of about 40 experts for advice.

This work can lead to changes in the initial national phytosociological system (Bardat *et al.*, 2004) including partition of one class into two, description of new syntaxa, transfer of a syntaxa from one class to another, etc. For example, the order *Holoschoenetalia vulgaris* Braun-Blanq. ex Tchou 1948, previously placed into the class *Molinio caeruleae-Juncetea acutiflori* Braun-Blanq. 1950 (Bardat *et al.*, 2004), has been transferred into the class *Agrostietea stoloniferae* Oberd. 1983 (Foucault de & Catteau, 2012). It should be mentioned that 2 marine phytosociological classes are not taken into account: *Halodulo wrightii-Thalassietea testudinum* Rivas-Mart., Fern.Gonz. & Loidi 1998 and *Posidonietea oceanicae* Hartog 1976 ex Géhu 2004.

The synthesis of about 80 classes, including about 2640 associations, will be finished by the end of 2015. At the beginning of 2013, the synthesis of three quarters of the classes are finalized or close to finalized, with 764 association description sheets validated or under correction.

In order not to wait till the detailed description of the

Tab. 1- Example of a plant association description sheet (De Foucault *et al.*, 2012).

FICHE N° 19-08
Association : <i>Cisto salviifolii</i> – <i>Halimietum halimifolii</i> Géhu & Biondi 1994 (<i>Braun-Blanquetia</i> 13 : 96).
Synonymes : -.
Unités supérieures : <i>Teucrion mari</i> Gamisans & Muracciole 1984, <i>Lavanduletalia stoechadis</i> Braun-Blanq. in Braun-Blanq., Molin. & Wagner 1940.
Type nomenclatural : rel. 8 du tab. 54 in Géhu & Biondi 1994 (<i>Braun-Blanquetia</i> 13 : 99).
Physionomie : lande très dense à fermée, dominée surtout par les deux chaméphytes éponymes, particulièrement spectaculaire avant l'été, lors de la floraison jaune et massive de l' <i>Halimium</i> ; illustration in Piazza & Paradis (1998 : photo 10).
Combinaison caractéristique d'espèces : <i>Cistus creticus</i> , <i>C. salviifolius</i> , <i>C. monspeliensis</i> , <i>Halimium halimifolium</i> , <i>Helichrysum italicum</i> , <i>Lavandula stoechas</i> .
Synécologie : lande littorale corse des arrière-dunes sablo-graveleuses décalcifiées et acidifiées.
Variations - <i>typicum</i> , différencié négativement, des arrière-dunes planes ; - <i>helichrysetosum microphylli</i> Paradis <i>et al.</i> 1999 (<i>Trav. sci. Parc nat. rég. Rés. nat. Corse</i> 59 : 35), typifié par le rel. 3 du tab. 13 in Paradis <i>et al.</i> 1999 (<i>Trav. sci. Parc nat. rég. Rés. nat. Corse</i> 59 : 77), différencié par le taxon éponyme et une régression de <i>H. halimifolium</i> , sur des dunes perchées fortement exposées aux embruns.
Synchorologie - territoire d'observation : littoral oriental et sud-occidental de la Corse (Géhu & Biondi, 1994 ; Paradis & Piazza, 1993 ; Piazza & Paradis, 1995, 1998, 2000 ; Paradis <i>et al.</i> , 1999) ; cartographie in Géhu & Biondi (1994 : carte 54 p. 100), Piazza & Paradis (1998 : 164) et Bensettiti <i>et al.</i> (2004 : 339) ; - sous-associations ou variantes géographiques : -.
Axes à développer : -.
CORINE biotopes : 16.28, 32.34 ? ; Eur 27 : 2260(-1) ; EUNIS : B1.64, F5.24.
Bibliographie Bensettiti <i>et al.</i> , 2004 Géhu J.-M. & Biondi E., 1994 Paradis G. <i>et al.</i> , 1999 Paradis G. & Piazza C., 1993 Piazza C. & Paradis G., 1995, 1998, 2000

whole set of classes are available, the results have been published class by class in the *Journal de Botanique* following an agreement with the Société botanique de France (Bioret & Royer, 2009). Since 2009, 15 classes have been published (Table 2). 5 classes are ready to be published and 60 are under preparation. The phytosociological classification and the correspondences between plant associations and European habitat classifications are organized into databases set up by the SPN following agreed standards and made available via the National Inventory of Natural Heritage (inpn.mnhn.fr).

Applications and perspectives

This project will give a reference classification of French vegetation, for use by field phytosociologists as well as managers of protected areas and policy makers.

This national classification will be helpful for the production of regional synopsis, such as those already published for Bourgogne-Champagne (Royer *et al.*, 2006) and Franche-Comté (Ferrez *et al.*, 2011)

regions. It could be also compared to other European national synsystems: for example Spain and Portugal (Rivas-Martínez *et al.*, 2001, 2002; Costa *et al.*, 2012) and Germany (Pott, 1995; Schubert *et al.*, 2001).

At the end of the PVF2 programme, a single volume will present the national synsystem of the vegetation of France, gathering all the association descriptions.

Although not yet complete, we estimate that about 2640 plant associations are recorded in France.

As plant associations can be considered as being a component of the biodiversity of a territory, they become progressively taken into account in numerous management issues and bioevaluation processes, including the production of management plans of protected areas (Réserves Naturelles de France, 2006), identification of natural and semi-natural habitats of community interest (Annex I of the European Union Habitats Directive) (Biondi *et al.*, 2012), red lists of plant associations (Rennwald, 2000), assessment of the conservation status of the vegetation (Batista *et al.*, 2012) and restoration ecology (Sawtschuk, 2010)...

Started in 2011, the CARHAB programme aims to map the vegetation of mainland France and Corsica at

N°	Class	Author(s)	Number of associations	Year of publication
14	<i>Cardaminetea hirsutae</i> Géhu 1999	Foucault B. de	22	2009
53	<i>Polygono-Poetea annuae</i> Rivas-Mart. 1975 corr. Rivas-Mart. et al. 1991	Foucault B. de	12	2010
60	<i>Saginetea maritimae</i> Westhoff et al. 1962	Foucault B. de & Bioret F.	18	2010
38	<i>Littorelletea uniflorae</i> Braun.-Blanq. & Tüxen ex Westh., Dijk, Passchier & Sissingh 1946	Foucault B. de	28	2010
28	<i>Filipendulo ulmariae-Convulvuletea sepium</i> Géhu & Géhu-Franck 1987	Foucault B. de	52	2011
48	<i>Oxycocco palustris-Sphagnetea magellanici</i> Braun.-Blanq. & Tüxen ex Westh., Dijk, Passchier & Sissingh 1946	Thébaud G.	16	2012
19	<i>Cisto ladaniferi-Lavanduletea stoechadis</i> Braun.-Blanq. in Braun.-Blanq., Molin. & Wagner 1940	Foucault B. de, Argagnon O. & Paradis G.	10	2012
46	<i>Nerio oleandri-Tamaricetea africanae</i> Braun.-Blanq. & O. Bolòs 1958	Foucault B. de, Bensettiti F., Noble V. & Paradis G.	9	2012
66	<i>Sisymbrietea officinalis</i> Kornek 1974.	Foucault B. de	38	2012
3	<i>Agrostietea stoloniferae</i> Oberd. 1983	Foucault B. de & Catteau E.	90	2012
18	<i>Charetea fragilis</i> F. Fukarek 1961	Felzines J.-Cl. & Lambert E.	37	2012
37	<i>Lemnetea minoris</i> Tüxen ex O. Bolòs & Masclans 1955	Felzines J.-Cl.	18	2012
45 65b	<i>Nardetea strictae</i> Rivas Goday in Rivas Goday & Rivas-Mart. 1963 <i>Serapiadetea cordigero - linguae</i> de Foucault 2012	Foucault B. de	80 1	2012
10	<i>Betulo carpaticae-Alnetea viridis</i> Rejmánek in Huml, Lepš, Prach & Rejmánek 1979	Foucault B. de	18	2012

Tab. 2 - List of published classes of the PVF2 programme.

the scale of 1/25 000, using the synphytosociological and geosynphytosociological approaches. The PVF2 will be the basis for the identification of series and geoseries, description, and mapping (Blasi *et al.*, 2010; Biondi *et al.*, 2011). It will also be used in the creation of the future national vegetation database VegFrance. (Bonis & Bouzillé, 2012).

Conclusion

This collective project gathers the national skills in sigmatist phytosociology bringing together the national community of sigmatist phytosociologists.

It will constitute a basis for the elaboration of typologies and mapping of natural and semi-natural habitats as well as vegetation series and geoseries. Updating and syntaxonomic modifications will be possible.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Douglas Evans (ETC/BD) for his help.

References

Bardat J., Bioret F., Botineau M., Bouillet V., Delpech R., Géhu J.-M., Haury J., Lacoste A., Rameau J.-C., Royer J.-M., Roux G. & Touffet J., 2004. Prodrôme des végétations de France. Patrimoines Naturels 61, Publications scientifiques du Muséum national d'histoire naturelle, Paris, 171 p.

Batista T., Cavaco J., Mendes P., Villa-Viçosa C., Penas A., del Rio S., Cabezas J., Fernandez-Pozo L., Arancibia N., Pinto-Gomes C., 2012. Conservation status of vegetation in the north and central area of Pardelia river basin (Evora, Portugal). *Acta Botanica Gallica* 159 (2) : 177-185.

Beeftink W.G. & Géhu J.-M., 1973. *Spartinetea maritimae*. Prodrôme des groupements végétaux d'Europe, 1, J. Cramer, Lehre, 43 p. + 6 cartes h.t.

Bensettiti F. et al. (coord.), 2001-2005. Cahiers d'habitats Natura 2000, connaissance et gestion des habitats et des espèces d'intérêt communautaire. 7 tomes, La Documentation française, Paris.

Biondi E., Casavecchia S., Pesaresi S., 2011. Phytosociological synrelevés and plant landscape mapping: From theory to practice. *Plant Biosystems* 145 (2) : 261-273.

Biondi E., Burrascano S., Casavecchia S., Copiz R., Del Vico E., Galdenzi D., Gigante D., Lasen C., Spampinato G., Venanzoni R., Zivkovic L. & Blasi C., 2012. Diagnosis and syntaxonomic interpretation of Annex I Habitats (Dir. 92/43/EEC) in Italy at the alliance level. *Plant Sociology* 49 (1) : 5-37.

Bioret F. & Royer J.-M., 2009. Présentation du projet de déclinaison du Prodrôme des végétations de France. *Journal de Botanique* 48 : 47-48.

Blasi C., Di Pietro R., Filibeck G., Filesi L., Ercole S., Rosati L., 2010. Le serie di vegetazione della regione Lazio. in Blasi C. (Ed.). *La vegetazione d'Italia, Carta delle Serie di Vegetazione, scala 1:500 000*. Palombi & Partner S.r.l., Roma.

- Bonis A. & Bouzillé, J.-B., 2012. The project Veg-France: towards a national vegetation database for France. *Plant Sociology* 49 (2) : 97-99.
- Braun-Blanquet J., Roussine N. & Nègre R., 1952. Les groupements végétaux de la France méditerranéenne. CNRS, Paris, 297 p.
- Costa J.C., Neto C., Aguiar C., Capelo G., Espírito Santo M.D., Honrado J., Pinto-Gomes C., Monteiro-Henriques T., Sequeira M., Lousã M., 2012. Vascular plant communities in Portugal (Continental, The Azores and Madeira). *Global Geobotany* 2 : 1-180.
- Felzines J.-Cl. & Lambert E., 2012. Contribution au prodrome des végétations de France : les *Charetea fragilis* F. Fukarek 1961. *Journal de Botanique* 59 : 133-188.
- Felzines J.-Cl., 2012. Contribution au prodrome des végétations de France : les *Lemnetea minoris* Tüxen ex O. Bolòs & Masclans 1955. *Journal de Botanique* 59 : 189-240.
- Ferrez Y., Bailly G., Beaufils T., Collaud R., Caillet M., Fernex T., Gillet F., Guyonneau J., Hennequin C., Royer J.-M., Schmitt A., Vergon-Trivaudey M.-J., Vadam J.-C. & Vuilleminot M., 2011. Synopsis des groupements végétaux de Franche-Comté. Conservatoire botanique national de Franche Comté, Les nouvelles archives de la flore jurassienne et du nord de la France, Numéro spécial 1, 286 p.
- Foucault B. de, 2009. Contribution au prodrome des végétations de France: les *Cardaminetea hirsutae* Géhu 1999. *Journal de Botanique* 48 : 49-70.
- Foucault B. de, 2010. Contribution au prodrome des végétations de France: les *Polygono-Poetea annuae* Rivas-Mart. 1975 corr. Rivas-Mart. et al. 1991. *Journal de Botanique* 49 : 55-72.
- Foucault B. de, 2010. Contribution au prodrome des végétations de France: les *Littorelletea uniflorae* Braun-Blanq. & Tüxen ex Westh., Dijk, Passchier & Sissingh 1946. *Journal de Botanique* 52 : 43-78.
- Foucault B. de, 2011. Contribution au prodrome des végétations de France: les *Filipendulo ulmariae-Convolvuletea sepium* Géhu & Géhu-Franck 1987. *Journal de Botanique* 53 : 73-137.
- Foucault B. de, 2012. Contribution au prodrome des végétations de France: les *Sisymbrietea officinalis* Kornek 1974. *Journal de Botanique* 58 : 55-116.
- Foucault B. de, 2012 Contribution au prodrome des végétations de France: les *Nardetea strictae* Rivas Goday in Rivas Goday & Rivas-Mart. 1963. *Journal de Botanique* 59 : 241-344.
- Foucault B. de, 2012. Contribution au prodrome des végétations de France: les *Betulo carpaticae-Alnetea viridis* Rejmánek in Huml, Leps, Prach & Rejmánek 1979. *Journal de Botanique* 60 : 47-68.
- Foucault B. de, Argagnon O. & Paradis G., 2012. Contribution au prodrome des végétations de France: les *Cisto ladaniferi-Lavanduletea stoechadis* Braun-Blanq. in Braun-Blanq., Molin. & Wagner 1940. *Journal de Botanique* 57 : 83-96.
- Foucault B. de, Bensettiti F., Noble V. & Paradis G., 2012. Contribution au prodrome des végétations de France: les *Nerio-Tamaricetea* Braun-Blanq. & O. Bolòs 1958. *Journal de Botanique* 58 : 41-54.
- Foucault B. de & Bioret F., 2010. Contribution au prodrome des végétations de France: les *Saginetetea maritima* Westhoff et al. 1962. *Journal de Botanique* 50 : 59-83.
- Foucault B. de & Catteau E., 2012. Contribution au prodrome des végétations de France: les *Agrostietea stoloniferae* Oberd. *Journal de Botanique* 59 : 5-131.
- Géhu J.-M., 2006. Dictionnaire de sociologie et synécologie végétales. J. Cramer, Berlin-Stuttgart, 899 p.
- Lacoste A. & Géhu J.-M. (Ed.), 2005. Données pour un prodrome des végétations de France. Colloques Phytosociologiques XXVI, Orsay 1996. Ed. Cramer, Berlin 236 p.
- Pott R., 1995. Die Pflanzengesellschaften Deutschlands. 2. Auflage. Eugen Ulmer Verlag, Stuttgart, 622 p.
- Rennwald E., 2000. Verzeichnis und Rote Liste der Pflanzengesellschaften Deutschlands. Schriftenreihe Vegetationsk. 35 : 1-800.
- Réserves Naturelles de France, 2006. Guide méthodologique des plans de gestion des réserves naturelles. Cahiers techniques de l'ATEN 79, 72 p.
- Rivas-Martínez S., Fernández-González F., Loidi J., Lousa M. & Penas A., 2001. Syntaxonomical checklist of vascular plant communities of Spain and Portugal to association level. *Itinera Geobotanica* 14 : 5-341.
- Rivas Martínez S., Fernández-González F., Loidi J., Lousa M. & Penas, A., 2002. Vascular plant communities of Spain and Portugal. *Itinera Geobotanica* 15 : 5-922.
- Royer J.-M., Felzines J.-C., Misset C. & Thévenin S., 2006. Synopsis commenté des groupements végétaux de la Bourgogne et de la Champagne-Ardenne. Bulletin de la Société Botanique du Centre-Ouest, Numéro spécial 25, 394 p.
- Sawtschuk J., 2010. Restauration écologique des pelouses et des landes des falaises littorales atlantiques: analyse des trajectoires successionales en environnement contraint. Thèse, Univ. de Bretagne Occidentale, 391 p.
- Schubert R., Hilbig W. & Klotz S., 2001. Bestimmungsbuch der Pflanzengesellschaften Deutschlands. Spektrum Akadem. Verlag, Heidelberg-Berlin, 472 p.
- Thébaud G., 2012. Contribution au prodrome des végétations de France: les *Oxycocco-Sphagnetetea* Braun-Blanq. & Tüxen ex Westh., Dijk, Passchier & Sissingh 1946 (tourbières acides eurosibériennes). *Journal de Botanique* 56 : 69-97.